



# Eugene-Springfield Fire Governance Review Panel

## MEETING 2 SUMMARY

### MEETING DETAILS

**Date:** Thursday, March 17, 2022  
**Time:** 2:30-4:30pm  
**Location:** Zoom Webinar & In-Person (Lane Council of Governments, Buford Room)

### MEETING ATTENDANCE

#### Governance Review Panel Attendees:

Kori Rodley, *Springfield City Councilor*  
Steve Moe, *Springfield City Councilor*  
Michael Clark, *Eugene City Councilor*

Randy Groves, *Eugene City Councilor*  
Nancy Newton, *Springfield City Manager*  
Sarah Medary, *Eugene City Manager*

#### Staff Attendees:

Niel Laudati, *Springfield Legislative & Public Affairs Manager*  
Scott Cockrum, *Interim ESF Fire Chief*

Kelsey Hunter, *ESF Executive Assistant*  
Brenda Wilson, *LCOG Executive Director*  
Rachel Dorfman, *LCOG Assistant Planner*

#### In-Person Attendees:

Roy Emery, *Fire Marshall*  
Nathan Bell, *Springfield Finance Director*  
Chaim Hertz, *Springfield HR Director*  
John Follett, *ESF Administrative Services Manager*

Ray Brown, *ESF Deputy Chief of Operations*  
Mike David, *President of IAFF 851*  
JoAnna Kampi, *ESF EMS Chief*  
Chris Heppel, *ESF Deputy Chief of Strategic Services*



## MEETING SUMMARY

### 1. Welcome & Introductions

*Brenda Wilson, LCOG Executive Director, provided welcoming remarks and took attendance.*

### 2. Eugene-Springfield Fire Operations

Presenter: Deputy Chief Brown

*Deputy Chief Brown presented a series of slides that provided an overview of Eugene-Springfield Fire operations, including staffing, resource distribution, services provided, call volumes, and response reliability. Deputy Chief Brown also discussed advantages and challenges related to functional consolidation as they relate to operations. The slides were provided to Panel members in their notebooks and are also available on the project website.*

*Governance Review Panel members expressed interest in learning more about payer mix and fee for services relating to EMS, as well as shifting the model to “treat and stay” and “treat and alternative destination” for non-fire, non-emergency calls where a patient does not need emergency room care. Deputy Chief Heppel explained that according to the current legislative reimbursement model, ESF is only reimbursed when a patient is transported to a hospital, but that there is work being done at the national level with respect to reimbursement for “treat and stay” and “treat and alternative destination” models.*

### 3. Eugene-Springfield Fire EMS & Logistics Services

Presenter: Deputy Chief Heppel

*Deputy Chief Heppel presented a series of slides that provided an overview of Eugene-Springfield Fire EMS and Logistics Services. The overview of EMS included a summary of key programs, staffing, calls for EMS service, advantages and challenges relating to consolidation, and historical ambulance deployment. The overview of Logistics Services included a summary of key programs, support vehicles, staffing, and advantages and challenges relating to consolidation. The slides were provided to Panel members in their notebooks and are also available on the project website.*

*It was noted that ESF is not currently benefitting from economies of scale due to supply chain issues. In response to a question, Deputy Chief Heppel noted that ESF had not introduced a barcoding system for its inventory, but that ESF had transitioned to an electronic commercial system that is available on mobile devices and not tied to a legacy system. Apparatus checks are also all going electronic.*

### 4. IAFF 851

*This is a standing agenda item. There were no comments from IAFF 851 at this meeting.*



## 5. Roundtable Discussion

*Ms. Wilson noted that this agenda item will be where staff will respond to questions from the previous meeting. Chief Cockrum briefly introduced the materials provided, which included financial information broken out by city and costing of firefighter and administrative positions in answer to Panel member questions from Meeting 1. Governance Review Panel members indicated that their questions had been answered by the information provided. The document was provided to Panel members in their notebooks and is also available on the project website.*

*Ms. Wilson asked Governance Review Panel members to indicate whether the information provided to date was sufficient or not, or whether they wanted information presented in a different manner. Key roundtable thoughts and questions from Panel members about Meeting 2 presentations included:*

- Most of the information so far about the nature of the issue is information we already had although some of the details are new. A lot of information not presented yet will go into decision-making, e.g. laws around what some of the options look like. Roundtable portions of this will be more important once we get to that information.*
- Helpful to go over how the organization operates to give a base understanding. Level of detail was good – learned some new things. Agree that as we get deeper into this, information we will get will be what we need to make decisions. Hard to make those decisions without knowing how the system works.*

## 6. Wrap-Up and Next Steps

### Upcoming Meetings:

Meeting 3 – March 31

Meeting 4 – April 14

Meeting 5 – April 28

Meeting 6 – May 12 (as needed)